Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics of Harmonic Networks

Claude-Alain Pillet (CPT–Université de Toulon)

Joint work with Vojkan Jakšić (McGill University) and Armen Shirikyan (Université de Cergy-Pontoise)

Grenoble — February 1-5, 2016







Probability of violation of the 2nd Law or how often does heat flow from cold to hot

Probability of violation of the 2nd Law or how often does heat flow from cold to hot

- Transient fluctuation relations [Evans-Morriss-Searles '94]
- Steady state fluctuation relations [Gallavotti–Cohen '95]
- Stochastic dynamics [Kurchan '98, Lebowitz-Spohn '99]
-(including quantum dynamics).....

Probability of violation of the 2nd Law or how often does heat flow from cold to hot

- Transient fluctuation relations [Evans-Morriss-Searles '94]
- Steady state fluctuation relations [Gallavotti–Cohen '95]
- Stochastic dynamics [Kurchan '98, Lebowitz-Spohn '99]
-(including quantum dynamics).....
- Experimental verifications [Ciliberto et al., '05 '13, ...]

Probability of violation of the 2nd Law or how often does heat flow from cold to hot

- Transient fluctuation relations [Evans-Morriss-Searles '94]
- Steady state fluctuation relations [Gallavotti–Cohen '95]
- Stochastic dynamics [Kurchan '98, Lebowitz–Spohn '99]
-(including quantum dynamics).....
- Experimental verifications [Ciliberto et al., '05 '13, ...]
- Reviews [Rondoni–Mejia-Monasterio '07, Seifert '12]
- "Entropic regularity" [Jakšić-P-Rey-Bellet '11]





"Thermodynamic Entropy Balance"

Entropy S_{system} is **not** a conserved quantity

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{system}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\Phi_{i}(t)}{T_{i}} + \sigma(t), \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Phi_{i} \\ \sigma \end{array} \right.$$

energy flux to *i*th reservoir
 entropy production rate



Out of thermal equilibium

Thermodynamic (Clausius) entropy S_{system} is **not even defined** But in many cases of interest (Hamiltonian systems, Langevin stochastic dynamics) a microscopic entropy balance equation holds

 $S_{\text{system}} = \text{Gibbs-Shannon entropy},$

is a state dependent quantity.



"1st and 2nd Law" for steady states

$$\sum_{i} \langle \Phi_{i}(t) \rangle_{\text{steady state}} = 0, \qquad \langle \sigma(t) \rangle_{\text{steady state}} = \sum_{i} \frac{\langle \Phi_{i}(t) \rangle_{\text{steady state}}}{T_{i}} \geq 0,$$



Steady state with $T_1 > T_2$

$$\langle \Phi_1
angle + \langle \Phi_2
angle = 0, \quad \frac{\langle \Phi_1
angle}{T_1} + \frac{\langle \Phi_2
angle}{T_2} \ge 0 \quad \Rightarrow \langle \Phi_2
angle = - \langle \Phi_1
angle \ge 0$$



Strange heat fluxes [Eckmann-Zabey '04]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\langle \Phi_i \rangle}{\mathcal{T}_i} \geq 0, \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{\langle \Phi_3 \rangle}{\mathcal{T}_3} + \frac{\langle \Phi_4 \rangle}{\mathcal{T}_4} < 0$$



Strange heat fluxes [Eckmann-Zabey '04]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\langle \Phi_i \rangle}{T_i} \geq 0, \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{\langle \Phi_3 \rangle}{T_3} + \frac{\langle \Phi_4 \rangle}{T_4} < 0$$

Understanding the statistics of entropy production is fundamental for heat pump engineering, and much more (\rightarrow next talk by Tristan Benoist)!

No matter how the entropy production rate σ is defined, the fact that $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle \geq 0$ does not preclude the entropy production $\mathfrak{S}_t = \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds$ to become negative.

No matter how the entropy production rate σ is defined, the fact that $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle \geq 0$ does not preclude the entropy production $\mathfrak{S}_t = \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds$ to become negative.

Fluctuation theorems give **universal** quantitative information on the probability of such violations of the 2nd law. Roughly stated, \mathfrak{S}_t satisfies a FT whenever

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{S}_t = st]}{\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{S}_t = -st]} \simeq e^{st}, \qquad (s \in \mathbb{R}, t \to \infty)$$

i.e., Negative values of \mathfrak{S}_t are exponentially suppressed as $t \to \infty$.

No matter how the entropy production rate σ is defined, the fact that $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle \geq 0$ does not preclude the entropy production $\mathfrak{S}_t = \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds$ to become negative.

Fluctuation theorems give **universal** quantitative information on the probability of such violations of the 2nd law. Roughly stated, \mathfrak{S}_t satisfies a FT whenever

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{S}_t = st]}{\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{S}_t = -st]} \simeq e^{st}, \qquad (s \in \mathbb{R}, t \to \infty)$$

i.e., Negative values of \mathfrak{S}_t are exponentially suppressed as $t \to \infty$.

As stressed by [Gallavotti-Cohen '95], the physically interesting and mathematically non-trivial aspects of FT can be formulated in terms of **large deviations**.

A functional \mathfrak{S}_t of a dynamical/stochastic process satisfies a FT if:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{t} \mathfrak{S}_t \in \mathcal{O}\right] = -\inf_{s \in \mathcal{O}} I(s)$$
(1)

for all open sets $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with a rate function satisfying

$$l(-s)-l(s)=s, \qquad (s\in \mathbb{R})$$

(2)

A functional \mathfrak{S}_t of a dynamical/stochastic process satisfies a FT if:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{t} \mathfrak{S}_t \in \mathcal{O}\right] = -\inf_{s \in \mathcal{O}} I(s)$$
(1)

for all open sets $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with a rate function satisfying

$$l(-s) - l(s) = s,$$
 $(s \in \mathbb{R})$

Gärtner-Ellis theorem relates I(s) to the CGF of \mathfrak{S}_t

$$I(s) = \sup_{\alpha} (\alpha s - e(-\alpha)), \qquad e(\alpha) \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mu}[e^{-\alpha \mathfrak{S}_{t}}]$$

FT (2) translates into Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

$$\boldsymbol{e}(1-\alpha) = \boldsymbol{e}(\alpha), \qquad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$$
 (3)

(2)

A functional \mathfrak{S}_t of a dynamical/stochastic process satisfies a FT if: $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P} \left[\frac{1}{t} \mathfrak{S}_t \in \mathcal{O} \right] = -\inf_{s \in \mathcal{O}} l(s) \tag{1}$ for all open sets $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with a rate function satisfying $l(-s) - l(s) = s, \qquad (s \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{2}$

(a) steady state/transient FT ↔ stationary/non-stationary process
(b) local FT ↔ (1) only holds for *O* ⊂]*s*₋, *s*₊[
(c) a given system may have several, distinct functionals satisfying a FT

Positive Results

• [Gallavotti–Cohen '95, Ruelle '99] *Global steady-state FT* for the phase-space contraction of strongly chaotic dynamical systems.

Positive Results

- [Gallavotti–Cohen '95, Ruelle '99] *Global steady-state FT* for the phase-space contraction of strongly chaotic dynamical systems.
- [Rey-Bellet–Thomas '02] For transient quasi-Markovian anharmonic chains the GC symmetry holds for the entropy flux $\mathfrak{S}_t = \int_0^t (\frac{\Phi_1(s)}{T_1} + \frac{\Phi_2(s)}{T_2}) ds$ on $] \delta$, $1 + \delta$ [for some $\delta > 0$. This yields a *local transient FT*.
- [Jakšić–P–Shirikyan '15] For regular enough transient Gaussian dynamical systems the GC symmetry holds on some open interval $] \delta$, $1 + \delta$ [and yields a *global transient FT* for some natural entropy production functional.

Negative Results

• [Farago, '02, van Zon-Cohen '03, Visco '06,...] In some *linear* stochastic models one observes a breakdown of the symmetry leading to the concept of *extended fluctuation relations* $l(-s) - l(s) = \mathfrak{s}(s)$.



Negative Results

• [Farago, '02, van Zon-Cohen '03, Visco '06,...] In some *linear* stochastic models one observes a breakdown of the symmetry leading to the concept of *extended fluctuation relations* $I(-s) - I(s) = \mathfrak{s}(s)$.



[Jakšić-P-Shirikyan '15] For stationary Gaussian dynamical systems the symmetry only holds on some open interval] − δ, δ[(δ > 0). One can cook up simple examples where δ < 1/2 and where e(α) = +∞ for |α| > δ.

Let \mathfrak{S}_t be a putative entropy production for a process with state variable x_t

- The CGF $e(\alpha)$ of \mathfrak{S}_t can be $+\infty$ outside an interval $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \ni \mathbf{0}$.
- If $\frac{1}{2} \in]\alpha_-, \alpha_+[$ then for $|\alpha \frac{1}{2}| < \min(\alpha_+ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_-)$

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\mathbf{1}-\alpha)=\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha).$$

Let \mathfrak{S}_t be a putative entropy production for a process with state variable x_t

- The CGF $e(\alpha)$ of \mathfrak{S}_t can be $+\infty$ outside an interval $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \ni \mathbf{0}$.
- If $\frac{1}{2} \in]\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}[$ then for $|\alpha \frac{1}{2}| < \min(\alpha_{+} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{-})$

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\mathbf{1}-\alpha)=\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha).$$

For systems with compact phase space, adding a boundary term
 f(*x_t*) − *g*(*x*₀) to 𝔅_t does not affect its CGF. This is not so for
 non-compact phase space: [α_−, α₊] may depend on *f* and *g*.

Let \mathfrak{S}_t be a putative entropy production for a process with state variable x_t

- The CGF $e(\alpha)$ of \mathfrak{S}_t can be $+\infty$ outside an interval $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \ni \mathbf{0}$.
- If $\frac{1}{2} \in]\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}[$ then for $|\alpha \frac{1}{2}| < \min(\alpha_{+} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{-})$

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\mathbf{1}-\alpha)=\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha).$$

- For systems with compact phase space, adding a boundary term f(x_t) - g(x₀) to G_t does not affect its CGF. This is not so for non-compact phase space: [α₋, α₊] may depend on f and g.
- Among all possible modifications of G_t by boundary terms, there is one which yields the maximal interval of finiteness of its CGF. Any two such CGF coincide on their common domain of finiteness.

Let \mathfrak{S}_t be a putative entropy production for a process with state variable x_t

- The CGF $e(\alpha)$ of \mathfrak{S}_t can be $+\infty$ outside an interval $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \ni \mathbf{0}$.
- If $\frac{1}{2} \in]\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}[$ then for $|\alpha \frac{1}{2}| < \min(\alpha_{+} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{-})$

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\mathbf{1}-\alpha)=\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha).$$

- For systems with compact phase space, adding a boundary term f(x_t) - g(x₀) to G_t does not affect its CGF. This is not so for non-compact phase space: [α₋, α₊] may depend on f and g.
- Among all possible modifications of G_t by boundary terms, there is one which yields the maximal interval of finiteness of its CGF. Any two such CGF coincide on their common domain of finiteness.
- The Gärtner-Ellis theorem yields a **global** LDP if the maximal CGF is steep, i.e., as $\alpha \to \alpha_{\pm}$ either $e(\alpha)$ or $e'(\alpha)$ diverges.

Let \mathfrak{S}_t be a putative entropy production for a process with state variable x_t

- The CGF $e(\alpha)$ of \mathfrak{S}_t can be $+\infty$ outside an interval $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \ni \mathbf{0}$.
- If $\frac{1}{2} \in]\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}[$ then for $|\alpha \frac{1}{2}| < \min(\alpha_{+} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{-})$

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\mathbf{1}-\alpha)=\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha).$$

- For systems with compact phase space, adding a boundary term f(x_t) - g(x₀) to G_t does not affect its CGF. This is not so for non-compact phase space: [α₋, α₊] may depend on f and g.
- Among all possible modifications of G_t by boundary terms, there is one which yields the maximal interval of finiteness of its CGF. Any two such CGF coincide on their common domain of finiteness.
- The Gärtner-Ellis theorem yields a **global** LDP if the maximal CGF is steep, i.e., as $\alpha \to \alpha_{\pm}$ either $e(\alpha)$ or $e'(\alpha)$ diverges.

Our main interest in harmonic networks is to substantiate this folklore on a well defined, simple but non trivial class of nonequilibrium dynamical systems.

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}}
i (p,q) \mapsto \mathcal{H}(p,q) = rac{1}{2} |p|^2 + rac{1}{2} q \cdot \omega^2 q, \qquad \omega > 0$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}}
i (p,q) \mapsto \mathcal{H}(p,q) = rac{1}{2} |p|^2 + rac{1}{2} q \cdot \omega^2 q, \qquad \omega > 0$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_i = rac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i}, \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_i = -rac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_i}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \ni (p,q) \mapsto H(p,q) = \frac{1}{2} |p|^2 + \frac{1}{2} q \cdot \omega^2 q, \qquad \omega > 0$$
$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \qquad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \sigma^* p)_i + (\sigma T^{1/2} \dot{w})_i$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \ni (\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}) &\mapsto H(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}) = \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{p}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \omega^2 \boldsymbol{q}, \qquad \omega > 0 \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_i &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i}, \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_i} - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \sigma^* \boldsymbol{p})_i + (\sigma T^{1/2} \dot{\boldsymbol{w}})_i \\ \partial \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}, \qquad \sigma : \mathbb{R}^{\partial \mathcal{I}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}}, \qquad T : \mathbb{R}^{\partial \mathcal{I}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\partial \mathcal{I}} \end{split}$$

$$(\sigma u)_i = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2\gamma_i} u_i & i \in \partial \mathcal{I} \\ 0 & i \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \partial \mathcal{I} \end{cases} \quad (Tu)_i = T_i u_i$$

 $\mathbb{E}[\dot{w}_i(t)] = 0, \qquad \mathbb{E}[\dot{w}_i(s)\dot{w}_j(t)] = \delta_{ij}\delta(t-s) \qquad (i,j \in \partial \mathcal{I})$

Time reversal $\theta : (p, q) \mapsto (-p, q)$

Fokker-Planck operator

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \begin{bmatrix} p \\ \omega q \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma T^{1/2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega \\ \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Gamma &= QT^{-1}Q^*, \qquad B &= QQ^*, \qquad A &= \Omega - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma \\ dx_t &= Ax_t dt + B dw_t \quad \Rightarrow L &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot B\nabla - Ax \cdot \nabla \end{aligned}$$

Fokker-Planck operator

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ \omega q \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma T^{1/2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega \\ \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\Gamma = QT^{-1}Q^*, \qquad B = QQ^*, \qquad A = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma$$
$$dx_t = Ax_t dt + Bdw_t \quad \Rightarrow L = \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot B\nabla - Ax \cdot \nabla$$
Kalman Condition: (A, Q) is controllable
$$\bigvee_n \operatorname{Ran}(A^n Q) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}}$$

L is hypoelliptic with unique "ground state"

The process has an ergodic (even mixing) invariant measure μ with a smooth and strictly positive density (a Gaussian!)

Work of Langevin forces

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{H} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_{i \in \partial \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{d} \phi_i$$

Work of Langevin forces

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{H} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_{i \in \partial \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{d} \phi_i$$

$$\mathrm{d}\phi_i = \frac{1}{2} (Q^* Q)_{ii} \mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{2} (T^{-1/2} Q^* x)_i^2 \mathrm{d}t + (Q^* x)_i \mathrm{d}w_i$$

Work of Langevin forces

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{H} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_{i \in \partial \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{d} \phi_i$$

$$d\phi_i = \frac{1}{2} (Q^*Q)_{ii} dt - \frac{1}{2} (T^{-1/2}Q^*x)_i^2 dt + (Q^*x)_i dw_i$$

Dissipated entropy

$$\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{S} = -\sum_{i\in\partial\mathcal{I}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_i}{T_i}$$

Work of Langevin forces

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{H} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_{i \in \partial \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{d} \phi_i$$

$$\mathrm{d}\phi_i = \frac{1}{2}(Q^*Q)_{ii}\mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{2}(T^{-1/2}Q^*x)_i^2\mathrm{d}t + (Q^*x)_i\mathrm{d}w_i$$

Dissipated entropy

$$\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{S} = -\sum_{i\in\partial\mathcal{I}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_i}{T_i}$$

Entropy flux is a "natural" functional from physical perspective

$$\mathfrak{S}_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{S} = -\int_{0}^{t} \left(T^{-1}Q^{*}x \cdot \mathrm{d}w - \frac{1}{2}|T^{-1}Q^{*}x|^{2}\mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(QT^{-1}Q^{*})\mathrm{d}t \right)$$

The "Traditional" approach to FT

Choose your favorite physically relevant quantity (work performed on the system, heat dissipated in the reservoirs, phase space contraction rate,...) compute its CGF and show by some clever tricks that it satisfies/does not satisfy the symmetry.

The "Traditional" approach to FT

Choose your favorite physically relevant quantity (work performed on the system, heat dissipated in the reservoirs, phase space contraction rate,...) compute its CGF and show by some clever tricks that it satisfies/does not satisfy the symmetry.

Example. In our model, \mathfrak{S}_t has a CGF

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\alpha) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\alpha \mathfrak{S}_t} \right]$$

which is finite on $]-\delta,1[$ for some $\delta>0$ and infinite on the complement of $[-\delta,1].$ It satisfies the GC symmetry on]0,1[

The "Traditional" approach to FT

Choose your favorite physically relevant quantity (work performed on the system, heat dissipated in the reservoirs, phase space contraction rate,...) compute its CGF and show by some clever tricks that it satisfies/does not satisfy the symmetry.



The "Traditional" approach to FT

Choose your favorite physically relevant quantity (work performed on the system, heat dissipated in the reservoirs, phase space contraction rate,...) compute its CGF and show by some clever tricks that it satisfies/does not satisfy the symmetry.

A canonical construction [Jakšić-P-Rey-Bellet '11]

Radically different philosophy: define a canonical entropy production functional E_{P_t} which **by construction** satisfies the symmetry. Whether or not a given physical quantity also satisfies the symmetry depends on how it is related to E_{P_t} .

- Probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{P})$
- θ measurable involution of Ω s.t. $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \circ \theta \sim \mathbb{P}$
- Canonical entropy production

$$\operatorname{Ep} = \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}}{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}} = -\operatorname{Ep}\circ heta$$

- Probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{P})$
- θ measurable involution of Ω s.t. $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \circ \theta \sim \mathbb{P}$
- Canonical entropy production

$$\mathrm{Ep} = \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}}{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}} = -\mathrm{Ep} \circ \theta$$

Expected value = Relative entropy

$$\int \operatorname{Ep} d\mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Ent}(\mathbb{P}|\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) \geq 0$$

with equality iff $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}=\mathbb{P}$

- Probability space (Ω, P, P)
- θ measurable involution of Ω s.t. $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \circ \theta \sim \mathbb{P}$
- Canonical entropy production

$$\mathrm{Ep} = \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}}{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}} = -\mathrm{Ep}\circ\theta$$

Expected value = Relative entropy

$$\int \operatorname{Ep} d\mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Ent}(\mathbb{P}|\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) \geq 0$$

with equality iff $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}=\mathbb{P}$

- If the symmetry θ is broken $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}\neq\mathbb{P}$ then \mathbb{P} favors positive values of Ep
- The CGF of Ep is Rényi's relative α -entropy

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha) = \log \int \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \mathrm{Ep}} \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = \mathrm{Ent}_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P})$$

Rényi relative $\alpha\text{-entropy}$ of two equivalent measures $\mu\sim\nu$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \log \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Rényi relative $\alpha\text{-entropy}$ of two equivalent measures $\mu\sim\nu$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \log \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

- convex function of α
- vanishing for $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$
- non-positive for $\alpha \in]0,1[$
- non-negative for $\alpha \notin [0, 1]$
- real-analytic on some interval I ⊃]0, 1[and infinite on the complement of its closure

Rényi relative $\alpha\text{-entropy}$ of two equivalent measures $\mu\sim\nu$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \log \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

- convex function of α
- vanishing for $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$
- non-positive for $\alpha \in]0,1[$
- non-negative for $\alpha \notin [0, 1]$
- real-analytic on some interval I ⊃]0, 1[and infinite on the complement of its closure
- trivially satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\mu|\nu)$$

• vanishes identically iff $\mu = \nu$

Rényi relative $\alpha\text{-entropy}$ of two equivalent measures $\mu\sim\nu$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \log \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

CGF of Ep satisfies GC symmetry

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha) = \operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P}) = \operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{P}|\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) = \operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P}) = \boldsymbol{e}(1-\alpha)$$

Rényi relative $\alpha\text{-entropy}$ of two equivalent measures $\mu\sim\nu$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \log \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

CGF of Ep satisfies GC symmetry

 $\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha) = \operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P}) = \operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{P}|\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) = \operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P}) = \boldsymbol{e}(1-\alpha)$

• The laws P and \tilde{P} of Ep and -Ep satisfy the FT

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{P}}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{s}}$$

Rényi relative $\alpha\text{-entropy}$ of two equivalent measures $\mu\sim\nu$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\nu|\mu) = \log \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

CGF of Ep satisfies GC symmetry

$$\boldsymbol{e}(\alpha) = \operatorname{Ent}_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P}) = \operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{P}|\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}) = \operatorname{Ent}_{1-\alpha}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P}) = \boldsymbol{e}(1-\alpha)$$

• The laws *P* and \tilde{P} of Ep and -Ep satisfy the FT

$$rac{\mathrm{d}m{P}}{\mathrm{d} ilde{m{P}}}(m{s})=m{e}^{m{s}}$$

 In applications to dynamical processes, ℙ is the path-space measure for a finite time interval [0, t] and θ is time-reversal

Martingales

Path-space: $C([0, \tau], \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}})$ Path-space measure: \mathbb{P}^{τ}_{μ} (stationary Markov process) Time-reversal: $\Theta^{\tau}(x)_t = \theta x_{\tau-t}$ Time-reversed path-space measure: $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\tau}_{\mu} = \mathbb{P}^{\tau}_{\mu} \circ \Theta^{\tau}$

Martingales

Path-space: $C([0, \tau], \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}})$ Path-space measure: \mathbb{P}^{τ}_{μ} (stationary Markov process) Time-reversal: $\Theta^{\tau}(x)_t = \theta x_{\tau-t}$ Time-reversed path-space measure: $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\tau}_{\mu} = \mathbb{P}^{\tau}_{\mu} \circ \Theta^{\tau}$

Theorem

(i) Canonical entropy production is a modification of the entropy flux by boundary terms

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}^{ au} \sim \mathbb{P}_{\mu}^{ au} \quad ext{and} \quad ext{Ep}_{ au} = \log rac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{\mu}^{ au}}{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}^{ au}} = \mathfrak{S}_{ au} - \log rac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}x}(heta x_{ au}) + \log rac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}x}(x_0)$$

(ii) The limit

$$oldsymbol{e}(lpha) = \lim_{ au o \infty} rac{1}{ au} \log \int \mathrm{e}^{-lpha \mathrm{Ep}_{ au}} \mathrm{d} \mathbb{P}^{ au}_{\mu}$$

exists for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

The maximal CGF

Let $\beta \in L(\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}})$ be such that

$$\theta \beta = \beta \theta, \qquad \beta Q = QT^{-1}$$

and set

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{\beta}(x) = \mathrm{e}^{-rac{1}{2}x\cdoteta x}\mathrm{d}x, \qquad \sigma_{\beta}(x) = rac{1}{2}x\cdot\Sigma_{\beta}x, \qquad \Sigma_{\beta} = [\Omega,\beta]$$

The maximal CGF

Let $\beta \in L(\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}})$ be such that

$$\theta \beta = \beta \theta, \qquad \beta Q = QT^{-1}$$

and set

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{\beta}(x) = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}x \cdot \beta x} \mathrm{d}x, \qquad \sigma_{\beta}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x \cdot \Sigma_{\beta}x, \qquad \Sigma_{\beta} = [\Omega, \beta]$$

Theorem II

• Ep_t =
$$\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\beta}(x_{s}) ds - \log \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_{\beta}} (\theta x_{\tau}) + \log \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_{\beta}} (x_{0})$$

• $E(\nu) = Q^{*} (A^{*} - i\nu)^{-1} \Sigma_{\beta} (A + i\nu)^{-1} Q$ is independent of the choice of β
 $\varepsilon_{-} = \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{R}} \min_{spec} (E(\nu)) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon_{+} = \max_{\nu \in \mathbb{R}} \max_{v \in$

The maximal CGF

Let

$$K_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} -A_{\alpha} & B \\ C_{\alpha} & A_{\alpha}^* \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A_{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)A + \alpha\theta A\theta, \qquad C_{\alpha} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)QT^{-2}Q^*$$

Corollary

e(α) is continuous on J
 _c = [¹/₂ − κ_c, ¹/₂ + κ_c] and has an analytic continuation to the cut plane (C \ R)∪]¹/₂ − κ_c, ¹/₂ + κ_c[.

Either κ_c = ∞ and e(α) ≡ 0, or κ_c < ∞ and e(α) is strictly convex on J
 [¯]_c

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{e}(\alpha) \leq \mathbf{0} & |\alpha - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathbf{e}(\alpha) \geq \mathbf{0} & |\alpha - \frac{1}{2}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

• If $\kappa_c < \infty$ then e'(1) = -e'(0) = ep > 0 and

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow \frac{1}{2} - \kappa_c} e'(\alpha) = -\infty, \qquad \lim_{\alpha \uparrow \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_c} e'(\alpha) = +\infty$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^*) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in \operatorname{spec}(K_{\alpha})} |\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{k}| \mathbf{m}_k$$

Global LDP for the canonical entropy production

Theorem III

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[\frac{\mathrm{Ep}_{t}}{t} \in C \right] &\geq -\inf_{s \in C} I(s) \\ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[\frac{\mathrm{Ep}_{t}}{t} \in O \right] &\leq -\inf_{s \in O} I(s) \\ I(s) &= \sup_{\alpha} (\alpha s - e(-\alpha)) \\ I(-s) - I(s) &= s \end{split}$$



The Algebraic Riccati Equation

Theorem I\

For $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{I}}_{c}$ the matrix equation

$$XBX - XA_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha}^*X - C_{\alpha} = 0$$
(3)

has a maximal symmetric solution X_{α} , a real-analytic concave function of α such that

$$X_{\alpha} \begin{cases} <0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in]\frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{c}, 0[;\\ =0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = 0;\\ >0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in]0, \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_{c}[; \end{cases}$$

(3) is an algebraic Riccati equation whose solutions are closely related to some invariant subspaces of K_{α} . It appears in many problems of linear control/filtering. Efficient numerical algorithms are available to compute the maximal solution.

Perturbations of Ep_t by boundary terms

Consider the CGF

$$g_t(\alpha) = \frac{1}{t} \log \int e^{\mathrm{E}p_t + \Phi(x_t) - \Psi(x_0)} d\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^t, \qquad \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} x \cdot Fx, \quad \Psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} x \cdot Gx$$

where ν is Gaussian with covariance *N*. Denote by \hat{N} the Moore-Penrose inverse of *N* and P_{ν} the projection on Ran*N*.

Perturbations of Ep_t by boundary terms

Consider the CGF

$$g_t(\alpha) = \frac{1}{t} \log \int e^{\mathrm{E}p_t + \Phi(x_t) - \Psi(x_0)} d\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^t, \qquad \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} x \cdot Fx, \quad \Psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} x \cdot Gx$$

where ν is Gaussian with covariance *N*. Denote by \hat{N} the Moore-Penrose inverse of *N* and P_{ν} the projection on Ran*N*.

Theorem V

- g_t(α) is finite on some interval]α₋(t), α₊(t)[and infinite on the closure of its complement.
 - Either α₋(t) = -∞ or lim_{α↓α₋(t)} g'_t(α) = -∞
 - Either $\alpha_+(t) = +\infty$ or $\lim_{\alpha \uparrow \alpha_+(t)} g_t'(\alpha) = +\infty$
- Let $\mathfrak{I}_{\infty}=\mathfrak{I}_{-}\cap\mathfrak{I}_{+}$ where

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I}_{-} &= \{ \alpha \in \bar{\mathfrak{I}}_{c} \,|\, \theta X_{1-\alpha} \theta + \alpha (X_{1} + F) > 0 \} \\ \mathfrak{I}_{+} &= \{ \alpha \in \bar{\mathfrak{I}}_{c} \,|\, \widehat{N} + \mathcal{P}_{\nu} (X_{\alpha} - \alpha (\mathcal{G} + \theta X_{1} \theta))|_{\operatorname{Ran} N} > 0 \} \end{split}$$

then $\lim_{t\to\infty} g_t(\alpha) = e(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{I}_{\infty}$. • Let $\alpha_- = \inf \mathfrak{I}_{\infty}, \alpha_+ = \sup \mathfrak{I}_{\infty}$. Then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha_{\pm}(t) = \alpha_{\pm}, \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} g_t(\alpha) = +\infty, \text{ for } \alpha \notin [\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$$

LDP for perturbations of Ep_t

Set

$$\eta_{-} = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \alpha_{+} = \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_{c} \\ \boldsymbol{e}'(\alpha_{+}) & \text{if } \alpha_{+} < \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_{c} \end{cases} \qquad \eta_{+} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \alpha_{-} = \frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{c} \\ \boldsymbol{e}'(\alpha_{+} = -) & \text{if } \alpha_{-} > \frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{c} \end{cases}$$

Theorem V

Under the law P_ν the functional S_t = Ep_t + Φ(x_t) − Ψ(x₀) satisfies a global LDP with rate function

• J(-s) - J(s) < s for $s > \max(-\eta_-, \eta_+)$, i.e., S_t satisfies an extended FT.

LDP for perturbations of Ep_t

Set

$$\eta_{-} = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \alpha_{+} = \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_{c} \\ \boldsymbol{e}'(\alpha_{+}) & \text{if } \alpha_{+} < \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_{c} \end{cases} \qquad \eta_{+} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \alpha_{-} = \frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{c} \\ \boldsymbol{e}'(\alpha_{+} = -) & \text{if } \alpha_{-} > \frac{1}{2} - \kappa_{c} \end{cases}$$



Example: a triangular network



Example: Jacobi chains



Theorem VII

- Assuming $\omega > 0$ and $a_1 \cdots a_{L-1} \neq 0$, the chain is controllable.
- If $\vartheta_1 \neq \vartheta_L$, then ep > 0.
- If the chain is symmetric, then

$$\kappa_{c} = \kappa_{0} := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vartheta_{\max} + \vartheta_{\min}}{\vartheta_{\max} - \vartheta_{\min}}$$

otherwise $\kappa_c > \kappa_0$.

Open Problems

- External forcing (work statistics)
- LDP for fluctuations of individual fluxes
- Get sharper estimates on κ_c in terms of the topology of the network and its symmetries
- Martingale approach to anharmonic networks